

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/057,392		01/25/2002	Carol Luedecke	023720-0246	3336	
26371	7590	08/07/2003				
FOLEY &			EXAMINER			
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308				MOY, JOSE	SEPH MAN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727	1	
				DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	X	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	pplicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
1	10/057,392	LUEDECKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit				
	oseph Moy	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea Period for Reply	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wit  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, car  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	). In no event, however, may hin the statutory minimum of t pply and will expire SIX (6) Muse the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May	, 2003					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand		natters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,9,12.15,13.15,14.15,1</u>	5.15,16.15,17.15 and	d 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,11,13,14,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		, the Everyines				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial Number: 09/10/05 7392

Applicant's election of the species Figure 7A without traverse has been acknowledged. Claims 8,9,12,15-18 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to the none elected species.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Richardson or Markle. All the references show all the structure of the device as recited by the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 10,11,13,14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson or Markle. It would have been obvious to design the containers of Richardson and Markle in any desired size for any desired content.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

ہ ہے۔ پ الر ا

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7, 10,11,13,14 19 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the patented claims of U. S. Patent No 6,185,355 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the patented claims shows all the claimed structure.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group a (703)305-3579 or (703)305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892., etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Date: 08/03/03

Primary Examiner